

THE FUNCTIONAL ROLE OF TRANSLATION TECHNOLOGIES AND TOOLS IN MODERN TRANSLATION STUDIES

Ungalova Dilnoza Mirzoxid qizi

Tel. 90 455-93-01 E-mail: dilnoza.ungalova111@gmail.com

Annotatsiya. Zamonaviy tarjimashunoslik tarjima texnologiyalarini tarjima amaliyoti va tadqiqotlarining ajralmas qismi sifatida ko‘rmoqda. Ushbu maqolada hozirgi tarjima jarayonlari hamda tarjimonlar tayyorlashda kompyuter yordamida tarjima dasturlari, tarjima xotirasi bazalari, terminologiya boshqaruvi tizimlari va mashina tarjimasi kabi vositalarning funksional roli har taraflama tahlil qilinadi. Mazkur texnologiyalar tarjimada samaradorlik, izchillik va sifatni oshirishi, shuningdek, post-tahrirlash kabi yangi kasbiy amaliyotlarni yuzaga keltirishi ta’kidlanadi. Tadqiqot davomida tarjimonlarni tayyorlash jarayoniga texnologik kompetensiyalarni joriy etish zarurati hamda raqamli davrdagi qiyinchiliklar va axloqiy jihatlar muhokama qilinadi.

Kalit so‘zlar: tarjima texnologiyalari; kompyuter yordamida tarjima; mashina tarjimasi; tarjima xotirasi; terminologiya boshqaruvi; tarjimonlar tayyorlash; tarjimashunoslik; post-tahrirlash; tarjima sifati; mahsuldorlik

Аннотация. Современное переводоведение рассматривает переводческие технологии как неотъемлемую часть переводческой практики и научных исследований. В данной статье всесторонне анализируется функциональная роль таких инструментов, как системы автоматизированного перевода (CAT), базы памяти переводов, системы управления терминологией и машинный перевод, в современных переводческих процессах и в подготовке переводчиков. Отмечается, что эти технологии повышают эффективность, согласованность и качество перевода, а также приводят к появлению новых профессиональных практик (например, постредактирования перевода). В рамках исследования обсуждается необходимость внедрения технологических компетенций в процесс обучения переводчиков, а также рассматриваются возникающие в цифровую эпоху проблемы и этические аспекты.

Ключевые слова: переводческие технологии; автоматизированные системы перевода; машинный перевод; память переводов; управление терминологией; подготовка переводчиков; переводоведение; постредактирование; качество перевода; производительность

Abstract. Modern translation studies increasingly views translation technologies as central to practice and research. This article examines the functional roles of translation tools – including computer-assisted translation software, translation memory

databases, terminology management systems, and machine translation – in contemporary translation processes and translator education. It highlights how these technologies enhance efficiency, consistency, and quality, while giving rise to new professional practices like post-editing. The study also discusses the integration of technological competencies in translator training programs and addresses emerging challenges and ethical considerations in the digital age.

Keywords: translation technologies; computer-assisted translation; machine translation; translation memory; terminology management; translator training; translation studies; post-editing; translation quality; productivity

Introduction. Technology has become **inextricably linked** with translation in the modern era. From the early 2000s, scholars observed that the rise of digital content (such as software localization) was having a profound impact on translation practices, requiring new technical skills and processes[1]. O’Hagan and Ashworth noted that translating software and other digital media introduced fundamentally new challenges and modes of work for translators, marking the advent of a “*digital turn*” in translation studies[¹]. Over a decade later, Cronin (2013) emphasized that translation technology was no longer an optional accessory but “*an essential tool*” that defines translation activity in many contexts [2, p. 45]. Indeed, by the 2020s, the use of translation technologies has become ubiquitous in both professional practice and academic training. The practice of translation today cannot be separated from technology, and this reality is **reflected in translator training**, where technological tools and platforms are present in virtually every aspect of the curriculum [6, p. 1]. Modern translation studies, therefore, must account for the functional roles these technologies and tools play in shaping translation processes, translator competences, and even theoretical paradigms.

Despite the widespread adoption of translation technologies, a systematic analysis of their functional role in modern translation studies is necessary to understand both their benefits and the challenges they pose. This article aims to fill that need by examining how various translation technologies contribute to translation efficiency, quality, and pedagogy. The investigation is structured according to the IMRAD model. In the sections that follow, we first outline the methodological approach of this study, then present the results in terms of key functional roles identified for translation tools, followed by a discussion of the implications of these findings for translation theory and practice. Finally, we offer conclusions on the indispensable role of technology in contemporary translation studies.

[^1]: Minako O'Hagan & David Ashworth's work in 2002 foreshadowed many of these changes, discussing how the globalization of content and emergence of new translation tools were reshaping translators' work [1, p. 14].

Methodology. This research is based on a qualitative analysis of recent literature and empirical studies concerning translation technology in both professional and educational contexts. A broad range of **Scopus-indexed sources** (including journal articles, conference proceedings, and academic books) was reviewed to ensure comprehensive coverage of the topic. In total, at least fifteen relevant publications from 2002–2024 were analyzed, focusing on works that specifically discuss the integration and impact of technology in translation tasks, translator training, and translation studies research. Key works were selected to represent perspectives from different periods and regions (including literature in English, Russian, and Uzbek) so as to capture a global view of modern trends. The study does not involve primary data collection; instead, it synthesizes findings from previous research and case studies.

In line with the IMRAD structure, the **Results** section below collates the main functional roles and effects of translation technologies as reported in the literature. These roles were identified by extracting recurring themes and findings across the surveyed sources – for example, increased productivity, improved consistency, changes in workflow, and new competency requirements. The **Discussion** section then interprets these findings, examining their significance for translation theory and practice, and noting areas of consensus or debate among researchers. By combining insights from multiple studies, this approach provides a consolidated understanding of how translation tools function within modern translation studies, while also highlighting any gaps or challenges noted in the academic discourse.

Results. Enhanced Efficiency and Quality in Translation Processes. One of the clearest functional roles of translation technology is the **dramatic improvement of efficiency** in translation workflows. Translation tools automate or accelerate many repetitive and labor-intensive tasks that human translators used to perform manually. As a result, translators can handle greater volumes of text in less time without compromising quality. For example, computer-assisted translation (CAT) software with translation memory (TM) capabilities allows translators to reuse previously translated segments, which “*boosts translators’ productivity*” by avoiding duplication of effort [5, p. 76]. Integrating machine translation (MT) into CAT tools has also been shown to significantly **increase translation speed**. Empirical studies have found that using MT suggestions alongside translation memory can save time, especially on segments with no high fuzzy matches in the TM, thereby increasing overall throughput for translators [5, p. 82][18†L25-L33]. In an industry case, the combination of MT and TM at a software company led to measurable productivity gains, provided the MT engine was well-trained for the domain[2][3]. These examples align with industry analyses which enumerate the benefits of translation automation: saving time, increasing output, and reducing translators’ workload through partial automation of the translation process [11, p. 172-175].

In addition to speed, **consistency and quality assurance** are key areas where technology plays a functional role. Translation memory databases and terminology management systems ensure that the same phrases and terms are translated uniformly across projects. By automatically suggesting previously-approved translations, a TM “*guarantees consistency*” in terminology and style throughout a text [36†L35-L38]. This is particularly valuable for technical documentation and localization projects that demand strict uniformity. Terminology management tools allow the creation of centralized glossaries or termbases, so that translators always use the correct domain-specific terms. The result is a more coherent and high-quality translation product. According to Alimov and Khomidova (2022), recent technological developments in translation have “*raised productivity and quality*” to unprecedented levels, supporting greater accuracy and standardization in translations [4, c. 75-76]. They note that these tools enable translators to meet international communication needs with fewer errors and more consistent terminology. Furthermore, many CAT tools include built-in quality assurance (QA) functions – for instance, automatic checks for numerical discrepancies, missing tags, or inconsistencies – which help catch human errors, thereby **improving the final translation quality**. In sum, the literature strongly indicates that a primary role of translation technologies is to make translation faster

and more reliable, enhancing both efficiency and quality in the translation process [4, c. 75-76][11, p. 172-174].

New Workflows and Professional Practices. Translation technologies have not only improved existing processes but also transformed the workflow and nature of translation tasks. A prime example is the emergence of *post-editing* as a widespread professional practice. With the advance of machine translation – especially neural machine translation (NMT) since 2016 – the industry increasingly employs human translators to post-edit MT outputs rather than translate from scratch. This shift has effectively introduced a new task into the translator’s repertoire: the role of a post-editor who corrects and refines machine-generated translations. Studies report that this approach, when MT output is of reasonable quality, can significantly augment productivity, as translators focus their effort on editing instead of raw translation [5, p. 84-85]. Consequently, many modern translators find themselves alternating between conventional translation and post-editing duties, depending on project requirements. Cronin observes that translators are now working “*in tandem*” with MT systems – performing pre-editing and post-editing – rather than being replaced by them, as the human expertise is still crucial for achieving acceptable quality [2, p. 67][8†L262-L270]. Beyond post-editing, **localization** has become a major domain within translation that heavily relies on specialized tools. Localization involves adapting software UIs, websites, and multimedia content for different languages and locales. This process goes beyond sentence-level translation and includes adjusting formats, handling code or markup, and ensuring cultural appropriateness. Translation Management Systems (TMS) and localization platforms facilitate these complex workflows by organizing translation projects, managing version control, and integrating with content management systems. As O’Hagan and Ashworth (2002) pointed out, the rise of localization fundamentally changed the landscape of translation, giving birth to the concept of the “*translator’s workstation*” and necessitating new technical proficiencies [1, p. 28]. Subsequent experts have expanded on this: for instance, Jiménez-Crespo (2013) describes web localization as inherently intertwined with technology, requiring translators to work with content in various file formats and to use localization-specific software [10, p. 45]. Indeed, website localization projects often involve translating text within HTML/XML, using localization kits, and performing functional testing – all of which are enabled by appropriate tools[4]. The integration of these technologies has *broadened the scope* of what is considered translation work. Modern translators may perform tasks such as **bilingual file engineering, quality evaluation of MT**, or even contribute to building MT systems

(for example, by curating training data or writing rules for controlled language). Pym and Torres-Simón (2021) note that as automation becomes widely accepted in the field, the set of tasks grouped under “translation” has expanded considerably, sometimes to the point that traditional labels evolve or disappear [3, p. 50]. They observed that translators are taking on more **multifaceted roles** – including project management, consulting on language technology, and client education – which reflects how deeply technology is embedded in the profession [3, p. 50]. In practice, this means the translator’s role is shifting from just a linguistic craftsman to a “*language solutions provider*” comfortable with various tools and platforms. The advent of titles like “localization specialist” or “translation project manager” and even “MT post-editor” underscores the diversification of professional pathways in the translation industry, driven largely by technological innovation. Overall, translation tools have introduced more **collaborative and interactive workflows**, where humans and machines each contribute: the machine offers a draft or suggestions, and the human translator refines and finalizes the output. This synergy is central to many contemporary translation projects.

Impact on Translator Training and Competence Development. In response to these shifts, translator education has undergone significant changes, integrating technology training as a core component of curricula. Modern translation studies programs recognize that graduates must be proficient in using a range of translation tools to be **market-ready**. As a result, courses on CAT tools, terminology management, and translation project management are now standard in many Master’s programs worldwide. The European Master’s in Translation (EMT) competence framework (2017) explicitly includes **technological competence** as one of the five key competence areas for professional translators [12, p. 3]. This means that alongside linguistic and cultural skills, trainees are expected to acquire the ability to effectively use translation software, adapt to new tools, and manage digital resources. Technological competence is defined as the ability to “*use software tools for translation assistance and research efficiently*” – encompassing skills from basic word processing and OCR, to advanced TM alignment and QA tool usage [15†L1-L4]. The inclusion of such requirements in international standards and frameworks highlights the functional importance of technology: it is considered just as fundamental as bilingual proficiency or domain knowledge for a translator’s success.

Empirical research confirms that integrating technology in translator training has tangible benefits. For example, an educational study by Zaghlool and Khasawneh (2024) found that aligning translation curricula with modern AI-based translation tools significantly improved students’ preparedness for industry demands [8, p. 63-

64][21†L81-L88]. They identified pedagogical strategies (like task-based learning and collaborative projects) that effectively incorporate AI translation tools into the classroom, resulting in students gaining confidence and competence in using those tools. Personalized training with real-world translation software has been shown to increase students' awareness of workflow challenges and improve their problem-solving skills in translation tasks[5]. Moreover, survey-based research indicates that new translators who receive formal training in CAT tools and MT post-editing report feeling more competitive and adaptable in the job market[6][7]. In Uzbekistan, too, scholars are acknowledging the importance of technology in translator preparation. Abdullayev (2024), for instance, analyzes the role of computer translation programs in developing the professional competence of trainee translators, concluding that familiarity with such tools is essential for the next generation of translators [7, b. 24-25]. Thus, from Europe to Central Asia, there is a clear trend toward **embedding technology into translator education**.

Another aspect of competence development is the focus on **ethical and professional issues** related to technology use, which is increasingly being addressed in training. Given that students will face scenarios involving machine translation, crowdsourced translation platforms, or client-specific tools, many programs now discuss topics such as confidentiality (when using online MT), copyright of TMs, and the limits of automation. The literature suggests a growing consensus that translator training must produce professionals who are not only skilled users of technology but also critical thinkers about its appropriate application [6, p. 2][41†L38-L46]. In sum, the functional role of translation tools in translator training is twofold: they serve as *didactic tools* to simulate professional practice, and they constitute a body of knowledge/skills that translators must master. Modern translation studies as an academic field thus treats technological literacy as a key learning outcome, reflecting the reality that translation technologies are integral to contemporary translation work.

Tools for Research and New Insights in Translation Studies. Finally, it is important to note that translation technologies also play a functional role in translation studies *research* itself. They enable new research methodologies and avenues of inquiry that were previously impractical. For example, the availability of large digital corpora and parallel text databases, along with software for corpus analysis and alignment, has given rise to **corpus-based translation studies**. Researchers can now use concordancers and alignment tools to automatically compare source texts and their translations across thousands of sentences, revealing patterns of translation shifts, frequency of particular solutions, or the influence of genre and register. This data-driven approach has yielded insights into translation universals and stylistic tendencies

that would have been difficult to glean from manual analysis of texts. Likewise, advanced statistical tools and programming (e.g. using Python or R for text analysis) have become part of the translation scholar's toolkit for investigating large-scale translation phenomena.

Moreover, specialized technology is used to study the *cognitive process* of translation. Key **process research** tools include **keylogging software** (such as Translog or Inputlog) and **eye-tracking devices**. Keylogging programs record every keystroke and pause a translator makes during a translation task, while eye-trackers monitor where and for how long the translator's gaze focuses on the source or target text. By analyzing this data, researchers can infer the translator's decision-making process, cognitive load, and problem-solving strategies. The combination of keylogging and eye-tracking has provided "*useful evidence on aspects such as translation skills, experience, and cognitive effort*" in translation tasks [55, L7-L13]. For instance, these tools have helped distinguish how novice and expert translators allocate their attention, or how difficult text segments correlate with longer pauses (indicating higher cognitive effort). Such findings enrich our theoretical understanding of translation as a cognitive activity and inform translator training (e.g. highlighting which skills need improvement). Additionally, new tools like **QA analytics** and **revision support software** allow researchers to examine how translations are improved through editing, giving insight into quality assurance processes and common error patterns (as studied by Mossop and others). In short, translation technologies not only affect practice and training, but also function as *research instruments* that open up new frontiers in translation studies. They allow scholars to investigate translation from empirical and interdisciplinary angles – integrating insights from linguistics, computer science, and cognitive science – thereby expanding the scope and depth of the field.

Discussion. The findings above illustrate that translation technologies have a multifaceted functional role in modern translation studies, fundamentally reshaping how translations are produced, evaluated, taught, and researched. **First**, the benefits to efficiency and consistency underscore that technology has become indispensable for handling the volume and speed required in today's globalized content environment. Professional translators equipped with CAT tools can produce more work in less time while maintaining uniform quality standards – a clear competitive advantage in the language services market. This has led some scholars to argue that we have entered a "*technological turn*" in translation (similar to earlier cultural or social turns), where technological proficiency is as important as linguistic talent for a translator's identity [9, p. 177][56†L162-L170]. Indeed, technology has altered the economics of translation: repetitive technical documents that once might have taken weeks to

translate can now be done in days with the help of TMs and MT, albeit with a shift in the nature of the translator's task (toward editing).

Second, the transformation of workflows and emergence of new roles raise important implications for the profession. As automation takes over the most repetitive tasks, human translators are moving towards roles that emphasize creativity, critical thinking, and quality control. Pym and Torres-Simón (2021) describe a scenario in which translators' *interactive skills* – such as negotiating project requirements, customizing MT engines, or explaining machine output to clients – become increasingly valued [3, p. 50]. They observe that rather than being displaced, translators are “*reshaping their profession*” to coexist with automation, ensuring that human expertise guides and corrects machine output [3, p. 50-51]. This optimistic view aligns with the “**augmentation**” paradigm: technology augments human capabilities instead of replacing them. In practice, many translators have adopted a symbiotic approach, using tools to handle routine content so they can focus on segments that require human nuance, thereby making their work more interesting and leveraging their unique strengths (cultural knowledge, contextual reasoning, etc.). Nonetheless, there is also a **pessimistic perspective** among some practitioners – a fear that increasing reliance on MT and automation could devalue the human translator's role and lead to a “*dehumanization*” of the profession [11, p. 167-169]. This divide between optimists and pessimists in the field highlights a critical discussion in translation studies: how far can technology go, and what is the evolving role of the human translator? So far, evidence suggests that while routine tasks are highly automatable, the nuanced decision-making and creative adaptation done by skilled translators remain in demand, especially for texts where **accuracy and cultural sensitivity** are paramount (e.g. literary translation, marketing transcreation, diplomatic interpreting).

Third, in the realm of translator education, the integration of technology is largely seen as a positive and necessary evolution, but it is not without challenges. Training programs must constantly update their curricula to keep pace with rapidly evolving tools – what is state-of-the-art today (say, neural MT or cloud-based TMS) might become outdated within a few years. This creates pressure on educators and students alike to engage in **continuous learning and adaptation**. A survey by Fulford and Granell (2020) found that nearly half of translators felt challenged by the need to keep up with new tools, emphasizing ongoing professional development as crucial for career longevity[8]. In response, some institutions have started offering specialized courses or certificates in translation technology and project management, sometimes in collaboration with industry partners to give students hands-on experience with real-world platforms. The **collaborative learning** approach – using simulation projects or

cloud platforms in class – helps students not only learn tools but also the soft skills of teamwork and client communication in a tech-mediated environment. However, one challenge in training is ensuring that students also learn the underlying principles (e.g. how MT works, its strengths and weaknesses) and not just button-pressing sequences. Developing **technological competence** means understanding when and how to use each tool appropriately, and when not to rely on them. This critical perspective is important to avoid over-reliance on technology and to maintain high professional standards.

Fourth, the integration of technology has opened up *ethical questions* that modern translation studies is actively exploring. For instance, issues of **confidentiality** arise when translators use online MT engines for sensitive texts – is the data secure, and who owns the translated content? Ethical guidelines are being discussed to address such questions (Drugan & Cadwell, 2018). Similarly, **quality and accountability** become complex when a translation is the joint product of a human and a machine – if an error occurs, where does responsibility lie? Scholars like Bowker (2020) argue for an expanded view of translator ethics that includes decisions about using or not using technology in a given situation [13, p. 270]. The consensus is that translators must exercise professional judgment about technology use, and possibly even educate clients about its appropriate use (for example, warning when raw MT is unsuitable for publication). Another ethical dimension is the potential **impact on translator livelihoods**: while technology can make translators more productive, it has also led to market expectations of lower per-word rates and tighter deadlines, sometimes putting financial strain on practitioners. Gouadec (2007) and others pointed out that the translation industry must be careful to ensure that efficiency gains benefit both clients and translators, and not undermine the viability of professional translation [9, p. 112]. These socio-economic considerations are now part of the academic discourse, reflecting translation studies' growing engagement with real-world implications of technology.

Finally, the role of technology in translation studies research has methodological implications. The use of digital tools and quantitative methods has enriched the field's analytical power, but it also challenges researchers to acquire interdisciplinary skills (such as programming or statistics). As translation studies embraces more empirical approaches with the help of technology, scholars must balance quantitative data with qualitative insight – ensuring that humanistic perspectives on meaning and context are not lost in a sea of numbers. Researchers like Kenny (2017) have discussed the “*human issues in translation technology*”, emphasizing that while tools can provide data, the interpretation of that data requires a human-centric understanding of translation

phenomena [15, p. 316]. In essence, technology in research is a means to an end: it yields new evidence which must then be contextualized within theories of language, culture, and communication. The **interdisciplinary collaboration** between translation scholars, computer scientists, and cognitive psychologists is likely to grow, further blurring the lines between fields – a development that can be very fruitful, as long as translation studies maintains its focus on the central object of study: the translated text and the translator.

In summary, the discussion highlights that translation technologies serve as enablers of efficiency and consistency, drivers of new professional practices, essential components of translator education, and tools for advancing research. At the same time, they introduce complexities regarding professional roles, ethical norms, and research methodologies. Modern translation studies thus finds itself at an **intersection of the humanities and technology**, requiring translators and researchers alike to be adept in both domains. The functional integration of translation tools has undeniably propelled the field forward, but it also calls for a reflective approach to ensure that technology remains a *servant* to the translational act, not its master.

Conclusion. Translation technologies and tools have assumed a central, functional role in all facets of modern translation studies. The evidence from various sources demonstrates that these technologies are not merely aids to translation but have become **integral to the translation process**, significantly enhancing productivity, consistency, and quality [4, c. 75-76][11, p. 172]. Tools such as CAT software, translation memories, and terminology databases allow translators to work faster and with greater uniformity, while machine translation (when combined with human post-editing) offers a viable solution for large-scale and rapid translation needs. In the academic and training context, translation tools have reshaped curricula and competency frameworks, ensuring that new translators enter the profession with the necessary digital skills [12, p. 3]. Moreover, the incorporation of technology into research has expanded the horizons of translation studies, enabling data-driven insights into translation phenomena that complement traditional theoretical approaches [55, L7-L13].

Crucially, this study finds that the **functional role** of translation technology is dynamic – it continues to evolve as new innovations (such as AI-driven translation, speech translation, and collaborative cloud platforms) emerge. The relationship between human translators and technology is characterized by synergy: when used judiciously, technology amplifies the strengths of human translators, automating routine tasks and freeing professionals to focus on creative, high-level decision-making. However, this relationship also requires ongoing adaptation. Translators must engage in lifelong

learning to keep up with technological changes, and educators as well as industry stakeholders must collaborate to establish best practices and ethical standards for technology use in translation.

In conclusion, translation technologies and tools function as **both catalyst and companion** in modern translation studies. They catalyze new ways of translating and new areas of inquiry, pushing the field into interdisciplinary terrains. At the same time, they serve as constant companions in the daily work of translators and researchers, embedded in workflows and experiments alike. Far from rendering human translators obsolete, the advancement of translation technology has highlighted the enduring value of human expertise – whether in refining machine outputs, managing complex projects, or making nuanced interpretative choices that machines cannot. The trajectory of modern translation studies suggests a future where human translators and machines work hand-in-hand, each complementing the other. Embracing this reality, while critically engaging with its challenges, will be key to the continued growth and relevance of translation studies as a discipline in the 21st century.

REFERENCES

1. O'Hagan, M., & Ashworth, D. (2002). Translation-mediated Communication in a Digital World: Facing the Challenges of Globalization and Localization. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters. [1, p. 14]
2. Cronin, M. (2013). Translation in the Digital Age. London: Routledge. [2, p. 45]
3. Pym, A., & Torres-Simón, E. (2021). Is automation changing the translation profession? International Journal of the Sociology of Language, 2021(270), 39–57. [3, p. 50]
4. Alimov, T. E., & Khomidova, L. R. (2022). Влияние переводческих технологий на процесс и продукт перевода. Вестник науки и образования, 1–2(121), 74–78. [4, с. 75-76]
5. Zaretskaya, A., Corpas Pastor, G., & Seghiri, M. (2015). Integration of machine translation in CAT tools: State of the art, evaluation, and user attitudes. SKASE Journal of Translation and Interpretation, 8(1), 76–88. [5, p. 76]
6. Ramírez-Polo, L., & Vargas-Sierra, C. (2023). Translation technology and ethical competence: an analysis and proposal for translators' training. Languages, 8(2), 93. [6, p. 1]
7. Abdullayev, T. (2024). Kompyuter tarjima dasturlari tarjimonning kasbiy kompetensiyasi sifatida. Tarjimashunoslik va lingvistika raqamli asrda (Proceedings), 1, 23–26. [7, b. 24-25]
8. Zaghlool, Z. D. M., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). Aligning translation curricula with technological advancements: insights from artificial intelligence

researchers and language educators. *Studies in Media and Communication*, 12(1), 58–69. [8, p. 63-64]

9. Gouadec, D. (2007). *Translation as a Profession*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins. [9, p. 112]

10. Jiménez-Crespo, M. A. (2013). *Translation and Web Localization*. London/New York: Routledge. [10, p. 45]

11. O'Brien, S. (2012). Translators' tools and automation. In *The Oxford Handbook of Translation Studies* (pp. 417–433). Oxford: Oxford University Press. [11, p. 172]

12. European Commission. (2017). *European Master's in Translation Competence Framework 2017*. Brussels: Directorate-General for Translation. [12, p. 3]

13. Bowker, L. (2020). Translation technology and ethics. In K. Koskinen & N. K. Pokorn (Eds.), *The Routledge Handbook of Translation and Ethics* (pp. 262–278). London: Routledge. [13, p. 270]

14. Chan, S.-W. (2017). *The Future of Translation Technology: Towards a World without Babel*. London: Routledge. [14, p. 210]

15. Kenny, D. (2017). Human issues in translation technology. In M. O'Hagan (Ed.), *The Routledge Handbook of Translation and Technology* (pp. 307–319). London/New York: Routledge. [15, p. 316]