

THE INFLUENCE OF PARENTING STYLES ON RESPONSIBILITY DEVELOPMENT AMONG CHILDREN IN SINGLE-PARENT AND NUCLEAR FAMILIES

Nilufar Turgun kyzy Norimbetova

Silk Road University and Innovation

Lecturer at the Department of Education Psychology and General Education Sciences

ABSTRACT

This study examines the relationship between parenting styles and the development of responsibility in children from single-parent and nuclear families. Using a mixed-methods approach with 150 children aged 12-16 and their parents, results indicate significant differences in responsibility development pathways between family structures, with authoritative parenting showing strongest correlations with responsibility across both family types. The study reveals that while children in nuclear families initially showed higher responsibility scores, these differences became non-significant when controlling for authoritative parenting practices. Qualitative findings further illuminate how single parents can effectively implement authoritative strategies through consistent routines, clear expectations, and supportive communication. The research underscores that parenting quality transcends family structure in fostering responsibility development.

Keywords : Parenting Styles, Responsibility Development, Single-parent Families, Nuclear Families, Authoritative Parenting, Family Structure, Child Socialization, Parent-Child Relationships

1. Introduction

Family structure represents a crucial contextual factor in child development, with single-parent and nuclear families creating distinct environmental conditions for socialization. Responsibility development, encompassing personal accountability, task completion, and social obligation, is particularly influenced by parenting approaches that vary across family structures. According to recent demographic studies, approximately 25% of children in urban areas now grow up in single-parent households, making it essential to understand how different family configurations influence developmental outcomes.

This research investigates how different parenting styles—authoritative, authoritarian, and permissive—affect responsibility formation in these contrasting family environments, addressing a gap in understanding how family structure moderates

parenting effectiveness. While numerous studies have examined parenting styles in nuclear families, limited research has explored how these styles function within single-parent households, particularly in non-Western contexts. The ecological systems theory provides a framework for understanding how family structure interacts with parenting practices to shape child outcomes.

The study aims to: (1) compare responsibility levels between children in single-parent and nuclear families; (2) examine the moderating effect of parenting styles on responsibility development; and (3) identify specific parenting strategies that promote responsibility in different family structures.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Parenting Styles Theoretical Framework

Baumrind's (1991) typology of parenting styles provides the theoretical foundation for this study. Authoritative parenting, characterized by high responsiveness and high demands, has been consistently associated with positive child outcomes. Authoritarian parenting (low responsiveness, high demands) and permissive parenting (high responsiveness, low demands) have shown mixed results across different cultural contexts.

2.2 Family Structure and Child Development

Research by Amato (2005) and McLanahan & Sandefur (1994) demonstrates that children in single-parent families face unique challenges, including economic strain and reduced parental monitoring. However, these studies also highlight the importance of considering mediating factors such as parenting quality and social support.

2.3 Responsibility Development in Different Family Contexts

Previous research indicates that children in single-parent families often develop greater independence and practical skills due to increased household responsibilities. However, the quality of parenting appears to be a more significant predictor of positive outcomes than family structure alone (Steinberg et al., 1992).

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

A sequential explanatory mixed-methods design was employed, with quantitative data collection followed by qualitative interviews to explain and elaborate on the statistical findings.

3.2 Participants

The study included 75 children from single-parent families and 75 from nuclear families, matched for age, socioeconomic status, and geographical location. Participants were recruited through schools and community centers in urban areas, with

careful attention to ensuring diversity in family backgrounds and duration of single-parent status.

3.3 Measures

- Parenting Style Questionnaire (PSQ): A 30-item adaptation of Robinson's (1995) measure assessing authoritative, authoritarian, and permissive parenting dimensions, with demonstrated reliability ($\alpha = .87$).
- Child Responsibility Assessment (CRA): A comprehensive 25-item scale measuring responsibility in personal care, household chores, academic work, and social commitments.
- Structured observations of parent-child interactions using the Parent-Child Interaction Rating System.

3.4 Procedure

Data collection occurred through school visits and home assessments over six months. Quantitative measures were administered first, followed by in-depth interviews with 30 selected families (15 from each group) to explore parenting practices and challenges. Multiple raters coded observational data with inter-rater reliability of $\kappa = .82$.

4. Results

4.1 Quantitative Findings

Children in nuclear families demonstrated higher overall responsibility scores ($M=42.3$, $SD=5.2$) compared to those in single-parent families ($M=38.7$, $SD=6.1$; $t=4.27$, $p<.001$). However, when parenting style was controlled for, authoritative parenting in single-parent families produced responsibility scores ($M=41.9$, $SD=4.8$) comparable to authoritative parenting in nuclear families ($M=43.1$, $SD=4.3$; $t=1.34$, $p=.18$).

Authoritarian parenting showed negative correlations with responsibility development in both family types ($r=-.42$, $p<.01$), while permissive parenting was particularly detrimental in single-parent households ($r=-.58$, $p<.001$). Regression analysis revealed that parenting style accounted for 45% of the variance in responsibility scores, while family structure accounted for only 12%.

4.2 Qualitative Findings

Thematic analysis revealed several key patterns:

- Single parents employing authoritative strategies emphasized consistent routines and clear expectations
- Children in authoritative single-parent households reported feeling trusted and capable

- Nuclear families with two authoritative parents benefited from complementary parenting approaches
- Single parents faced unique challenges in maintaining consistency due to absence of co-parent

5. Discussion

5.1 Interpretation of Findings

The findings challenge simplistic assumptions about family structure effects by demonstrating the paramount importance of parenting quality. The strong performance of authoritative parenting across both family structures supports Baumrind's theory while extending its applicability to single-parent contexts. The particularly negative impact of permissive parenting in single-parent households suggests that clear structure and boundaries are especially crucial when children lack multiple caregiver figures.

5.2 Theoretical Implications

The results support an ecological interpretation of family influence, where parenting quality mediates the relationship between family structure and child outcomes. The effectiveness of authoritative parenting in single-parent households challenges deficit models of single-parent families and highlights the importance of focusing on process variables rather than structural characteristics.

5.3 Practical Implications

Single parents can effectively promote responsibility through authoritative practices that include:

- Establishing clear, consistent expectations
- Providing emotional support while maintaining boundaries
- Involving children in age-appropriate decision making
- Creating predictable routines and household responsibilities

6. Conclusion and Recommendations

While nuclear families provide structural advantages for responsibility development, parenting style represents a more significant determinant than family structure alone. The findings emphasize that:

1. Parenting quality can compensate for structural disadvantages in single-parent families
2. Authoritative parenting practices are effective across different family structures
3. Support programs should focus on enhancing parenting skills rather than family structure

Recommendations for Practice:

- Parent education programs should specifically address the challenges of maintaining authoritative parenting in single-parent households

- Schools and community organizations should provide support systems that reinforce authoritative parenting practices
- Family policies should recognize and support the effectiveness of various family structures when coupled with quality parenting

Limitations and Future Research:

The study's focus on urban populations and two-child families may limit generalizability. Future research should explore these dynamics in rural contexts, larger families, and different cultural settings. Longitudinal studies tracking responsibility development over time would provide valuable insights into long-term outcomes.

REFERENCES

1. Baumrind, D. (1991). The influence of parenting style on adolescent competence and substance use. *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 11(1), 56-95.
2. Amato, P. R. (2005). The impact of family formation change on the cognitive, social, and emotional well-being of the next generation. *The Future of Children*, 15(2), 75-96.
3. McLanahan, S., & Sandefur, G. (1994). *Growing up with a single parent: What hurts, what helps*. Harvard University Press.
4. Steinberg, L., Lamborn, S. D., Dornbusch, S. M., & Darling, N. (1992). Impact of parenting practices on adolescent achievement: Authoritative parenting, school involvement, and encouragement to succeed. *Child Development*, 63(5), 1266-1281.
5. Hetherington, E. M., & Stanley-Hagan, M. (1999). The adjustment of children with divorced parents: A risk and resiliency perspective. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 40(1), 129-140.
6. Lamborn, S. D., Mounts, N. S., Steinberg, L., & Dornbusch, S. M. (1991). Patterns of competence and adjustment among adolescents from authoritative, authoritarian, indulgent, and neglectful families. *Child Development*, 62(5), 1049-1065.
7. McLanahan, S., Tach, L., & Schneider, D. (2013). The causal effects of father absence. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 39, 399-427.
8. Simons, L. G., & Conger, R. D. (2007). Linking mother-father differences in parenting to a typology of family parenting styles and adolescent outcomes. *Journal of Family Issues*, 28(2), 212-241.
9. Robinson, C. C., et al. (1995). Authoritative, authoritarian, and permissive parenting practices: Development of a new measure. *Psychological Reports*, 77, 819-830.
10. Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design*. Harvard University Press
11. Grolnick, W. S., & Ryan, R. M. (1989). Parent styles associated with children's self-regulation and competence in school. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 81(2), 143-154.
12. Darling, N., & Steinberg, L. (1993). Parenting style as context: An integrative model. *Psychological Bulletin*, 113(3), 487-496.